

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAPPES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,184	06/20/2003	Garner T. Haupert JR.	0838.2003-001	6779
21005 7:	590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD, N	1A 01742-9133		1644	····
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/601,184	HAUPERT ET AL.	HAUPERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	l I					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stath - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply when the office later than three months after the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMU f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma inication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I vill, by statute, cause the application to becom	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this contained the mailing date of the maili	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Lon						
	b) This action is non-final.						
· 	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the ap	polication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in f the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12 are drawn to screening methods, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 13-16 are drawn to methods of treating hypertension, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 17-19 are drawn to methods of treating heart failure, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- IV. Claims 20-23 are drawn to monitoring methods, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
- 2. Inventions I-IV are different methods that use different ingredients to achieve different goals. Inventions II and III are in vivo methods for treatment whilst inventions I and IV are not. Inventions II and III differ in that they require use of antagonist versus agonist agents. Inventions I and IV differ in that invention I is drawn to a method of screening for an agent whilst invention IV is a method that uses samples from a human for which monitoring is performed for clinical assessment.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-IV is not required for any other group from Groups I-IV and Groups I-IV have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,184

Art Unit: 1644

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1888— | L

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644